

# CONSUMER GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL FORUM

ELECTRICAL CIRCLE, BARGARH

First Floor, Raymond Building, Bandutikra Chowk, Bargarh-768028

Phone: (06646) 230135, E-mail: grf.bargarh@tpwesternodisha.com



**Present:**

Sri B.K.Singh ... President  
Sri Pulakesh Dasbhaya ... Member (Finance)

1	Case No.	<b>BGH/40/2025</b>			
2	Complainant	Name & Address:		Consumer No:	
		Jibardhan Sahu		5152-1606-0004	
		At/Po-Bheunria		Contact No.:	
		Block-Padampur, Dist-Bargarh		9938306881	
3	Respondent	Name		Division	
		SDO(Elect.), TPWODL, Padampur		BWED, TPWODL, Bargarh.	
4	Date of Application	20.03.2025			
5	In the matter of-	1. Agreement / Termination	2. Billing Disputes	√	
		3. Classification / Reclassification of Consumers	4. Contract Demand / Connected Load		
		5. Disconnection / Reconnection of Supply	6. Installation of Equipment & apparatus of Consumer		
		7. Interruptions	8. Metering		
		9. New Connection	10. Quality of Supply & GSOP		
		11. Security Deposit / Interest	12. Shifting of Service Connection & equipments		
		13. Transfer of Consumer Ownership	14. Voltage Fluctuations		
		15. Others (Specify) -			
6	Section(s) of Electricity Act, 2003 involved	42(5)			
7	OERC Regulation(s):	Clauses			
1	OERC Distribution (Licensee's Standard of Performance) Regulations, 2004				
2	OERC Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2004				
3	Odisha Grid Code (OGC) Regulation, 2006				
4	OERC (Terms and Conditions for Determination of Tariff) Regulations, 2004				
5	Others-OERC Distribution (Conditions of Supply) code, 2019			155 & 157	
8	Date(s) of Hearing	20.03.2025			
9	Date of Order	28.03.2025			
10	Order in favour of	Complainant	√	Respondent	Others
11	Details of Compensation awarded, if any.		Nil		
12	Appeared for the Complainant:		Appeared for the Respondent:		
	Jibardhan Sahu		SDO(Elect.), TPWODL, Padampur		

**PRESIDENT**  
Grievance Redressal Forum  
TPWODL, Bargarh-768028

## **ORDER**



### **Brief Facts of the Case**

During the spot hearing at ESO-Padampur of Padampur Electrical Sub-division under Bargarh West Electrical Division camp on 20-03-2025, the complainant appeared before the Forum whereas SDO- Padampur appeared as respondent before the Forum.

Brief facts pertaining to the case are that the Complainant is a LT- Domestic consumer having consumer No. 515216060004 with connected load of 1.00 KW. That the Complainant has raised objection regarding the abnormal consumption bills served to him for the month of Oct'11. He requested for revision of bills and mentions about verbal complaint being made to the respondent earlier on.

### **Gist of Arguments made by the Parties**

Both parties were present in the hearing. The contentions made by the parties are as follows:

#### **1. Submission of the Complainant:**

1. The complainant submits that, high consumption bill has been served to him for the month of Oct'11 due to which high billings have been done resulted to accumulation of arrear.
2. He further submits that; he had made verbal complain to the respondent about the erroneous bill.
3. He also requested the Forum to revise the bills.

#### **2. Reply Submission of the Respondent:**

- i. The respondent also agreed upon abnormal bill for the month of Oct'11 and agreed for revision of bills. However, the respondent requested the Forum to take appropriate decision as necessary.

### **Findings and observations of the Forum**

Written/verbal Submissions were made by both parties and arguments were heard at length. This Forum, after hearing the parties and going through the

*B. G.*

relevant documents, FG and Samadhan database (Licensee's soft records) and provisions of law have concluded as follows:



- That the complainant has been billed on actual meter readings up to Aug'2011 with a meter reading of "808" (Once Rounded) of meter no. 141910 with a monthly average consumption of 88 units (average from Jun'2001 to Aug'2011). For the month of Sep-Oct'11, bill of 6201 units have been served with a meter reading of "7009" which is disputed by the complainant. Again, it is noted that, just after two months, the meter has been declared defective and average bills has been served up to Oct'22.
- In the meanwhile, a new meter bearing Sl. No. TPWODL1099631 has been installed on 29-09-2022 in the premises of the complainant after old meter was declared defective.
- The new meter average was also recorded as 173 units per month (from Sep'22 to Feb'25) which leads the Forum to revise the abnormal bill as per new meter.
- Therefore, it is decided by the Forum that, the abnormal bill should be revised.

### **Directions of the forum**


In view of the above findings and discussions, the Forum is of the view that,

- The bill served to the complainant for the month of Oct'11 is to be revised as per the average of six consecutive billing of new meter as per Section 155 and 157 of Odisha Electricity Regulatory Commission Distribution (Conditions of Supply) Code, 2019.
- Any adjustments done during the revision period are also to be taken in to consideration.
- DPS charged on the wrong bills are also to be withdrawn.

**The Opposite party is directed to submit the compliance report to this Forum within 30.04.2025.**

Accordingly, the case is disposed of.

  
(P. Dasbhaya)  
Member (Finance)  
MEMBER  
Grievance Redressal Forum  
TPWODL, Bargarh-768028

  
(B.K. Singh) 28/03/25  
President  
PRESIDENT  
Grievance Redressal Forum  
TPWODL, Bargarh-768028



No. GRF/BGH/

46<sup>(2)</sup>

Date: 28.03.2025

Certified Copy to:

- 1) The Zonal Head, Bargarh Zone, TPWODL, Bargarh.
- 2) The Chief Legal, TPWODL, Burla.

*"If the complainant is aggrieved with this order or non-implementation of the order of the Grievance Redressal Forum in time, he/she can make the representation to the Ombudsman-II, Qrs. No. 3R-2(S), GRIDCO Colony, P.O: Bhoinagar, Bhubaneswar-751022 (Tel. No. 0674-2543825 and Fax No. 0674-2546264) within 30 days from the date of order of the Grievances Redressal Forums".*

This order can be accessed at TPWODL website [www.tpwesternodisha.com](http://www.tpwesternodisha.com)- Customer Zone- Grievance Redressal Forum- BGH- GRF case No. BGH 40 of 2025.